UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

International General Certificate of Secondary Education

MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2010 question paper for the guidance of teachers

0445 DESIGN AND TECHNOLOGY

0445/13

Paper 13 (Design), maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

• CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2010 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

| Page 2 | | Mark Scheme: Teachers' version | | Syllabus | Paper | |
|--------|--|--|--|---|--|------|
| | | IGCSE – | May/June 2010 | 0445 | 13 | |
| (a) | books, | • | table points – accessible, ea orrect height, closed to keep | - | (1 × 4) | [4] |
| (b) | | drawings of any two fixeath, screw plates etc. | xing methods – screws throu | gh back, brackets | (2 × 2) | [4] |
| (c) | rata if for Common Simple Clear destruction Shading High quand det Suitabit Simplis Rather | ewer. unication drawings displaying a leading displaying a goog/colour/annotation etc. uality drawings using a rail. lity tic designs showing ou more detail, sensible s | wide range of techniques wit | e of techniques techniques – h clear annotation | (0-2) (3-4) (5-6) (0-2) (3-4) (5-6) | [12] |
| (d) | | ion of each of the idea on and justification. (1 - | s. At least 3 evaluations up t + 1) | to 2 marks each | (0–6) (2) | [8] |
| (e) | Poor lin Good li High sta detail Dimens Constr A simpl Most co annotat All cons | andard throughout with sions 2 or 3 overall d Additional deta uction details istic approach showing onstructional detail may ion | proportions, some detail a range of techniques that s | tion to be used ws or with some | (1) (2-3) (4) (2) (0-2) (3-4 (5-6) | [12] |
| (f) | | e specific materials starriate reasons for choice | | | (2) (2) | [4] |
| (g) | | e method stated. etailed description of: | processes tools. | | (1) (3) (2) | [6] |

1

© UCLES 2010

[Total: 50]

| Page 3 | | Mark Scheme: Teachers' version | Syllabus | Paper | · |
|--------|--|---|------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| | | IGCSE – May/June 2010 | 0445 | 13 | |
| (a) | Accept any four additional suitable points – simple, easy to create movement, all moving parts visible, parts labelled, will not wear out etc. | | (1 × 4) | [4] | |
| (b) | Accept any two moving joint methods – stationary rivets, slots, round pegs, folds etc. | | | (2 × 2) | [4] |
| (c) | Any sui | | | | |
| | Simple | Communication Simple drawings displaying a low standard or limited range of techniques | | | |
| | shading | Clear drawings displaying a good standard and a range of techniques – shading/colour/annotation etc High quality drawings using a wide range of techniques with clear annotation and detail. Suitability | | | |
| | and det | | | | |
| | Simplis [®] Rather | tic designs showing outlines only more detail, sensible solutions that could work te solutions, good fitness for purpose, detailed cons | struction. | (0–2) (3–4) (5–6) | [12] |
| (d) | | ion of each of the ideas. At least 3 evaluations up ton and justification. (1 + 1) | to 2 marks each. | (0–6) (2) | [8] |
| (e) | - | of drawing | | (1) | |
| | Poor line quality, proportions, little detail Good line work, use of colour, proportions, some detail High standard throughout with a range of techniques that show clearly all detail Dimensions 2 or 3 overall dimensions only – 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Additional detail dimensions – 2 Construction details | | | |
| | A simplistic approach showing little or no detail of construction to be used Most constructional detail may be obvious from overall views or with some annotation All constructional detail will be clear with good annotation and additional | | | | |
| | | | | | F4 01 |
| | detail d | rawings as necessary. | | (5–6) | [12] |
| (f) | | e specific materials stated. riate reasons for choice. | | (2) (2) | [4] |
| (g) | | e method stated. etailed description of: processes tools. | | (1) (3) (2) | [6] |
| | | | | [Total: 50] | |

2

| Page 4 | | Mark Scheme: Teachers' version | Syllabus | Paper | • |
|--------|--|--|------------------|-------------------------|------|
| | | IGCSE – May/June 2010 | 0445 | 13 | |
| (a) | Accept any four additional suitable points – easy to operate, stable in use, safe for child to use, appealing to child, colourful, hygienic etc. | | (1 × 4) | [4] | |
| (b) | - | Accept any two types of movement or sound – springs, electric motors, cams, gears, sliders, ratchet etc. | | (2 × 2) | [4] |
| (c) | Any sui rata if fe Commi | | | | |
| | Simple drawings displaying a low standard or limited range of techniques | | | | |
| | shading | Clear drawings displaying a good standard and a range of techniques – shading/colour/annotation etc | | | |
| | • . | High quality drawings using a wide range of techniques with clear annotation and detail | | | |
| | Rather | lity tic designs showing outlines only more detail, sensible solutions that could work te solutions, good fitness for purpose, detailed cons | struction. | (0–2) (3–4) (5–6) | [12] |
| (d) | | tion of each of the ideas. At least 3 evaluations up ton and justification. (1 + 1) | to 2 marks each. | (0–6) (2) | [8] |
| (e) | Quality | of drawing | | | |
| () | Poor line quality, proportions, little detail Good line work, use of colour, proportions, some detail High standard throughout with a range of techniques that show clearly all | | show clearly all | (1) (2–3) | |
| | detail Dimensions 2 or 3 overall dimensions only – 1 Additional detail dimensions – 2 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Construction details A simplistic approach showing little or no detail of construction to be used | | | |
| | Most constructional detail may be obvious from overall views or with some annotation All constructional detail will be clear with good annotation and additional detail drawings as necessary. | | | | |
| | | | | | [12] |
| | | | | | |
| (f) | | e specific materials stated. riate reasons for choice. | | (2) (2) | [4] |
| (g) | | e method stated. etailed description of: processes | | (1) (3) | |
| | tools. | | | (2) | [6] |
| | | | | [Total: 50] | |

3