

General Certificate of Education (A-level) January 2012

General Studies B

GENB3

(Specification 2765)

Unit 3: Power

Final

Mark Scheme

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all examiners participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available from: aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2012 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

Copyright

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

INTRODUCTION

The nationally agreed assessment objectives in the Ofqual Subject Criteria for General Studies are:

- **AO1** Demonstrate relevant knowledge and understanding applied to a range of issues, using skills from different disciplines.
- **AO2** Marshal evidence and draw conclusions; select, interpret, evaluate and integrate information, data, concepts and opinions.
- **AO3** Demonstrate understanding of different types of knowledge appreciating their strengths and limitations.
- **AO4** Communicate clearly and accurately in a concise, logical and relevant way.
- Candidates will often perform at a uniform level across the four Assessment Objectives. Sometimes, though, their performance will be uneven across the AOs.
- The mark awarded for a response should reflect the relative weightings of AOs for the unit (see below).
- Thus, for Unit 3, the ability to marshal evidence and draw conclusions [AO2] is the primary determinant of the level (1 to 5) to which a response is allocated.
- Knowledge and understanding [AO1] will lend or withdraw support for the allocation.
- Whether fact and opinion are distinguished [AO3], and whether communication is clear and accurate [AO4] have equal weight, and should determine the mark within the level.
- Answers given in the mark scheme are not necessarily definitive. Other valid points must be credited, even if they do not appear in the mark scheme.

Distribution of marks across the questions and assessment objectives for Unit 3

Question Numbers		Q1	Q2	Q3/4	Total marks
Assessment Objectives	1	5	5	10	20
	2	7	7	14	28
	3	4	4	8	16
	4	4	4	8	16
Total marks per Question		20	20	40	80

'Our rights are fully protected by UK and international law.'

Argue in favour of the above statement, using examples of your own as well as those in $\underline{\text{Texts A}}$ and $\underline{\text{B}}$. (20 marks)

Points that might be made:

- basic rights are identified and codified
- a variety of punishments exist to penalise and deter
- those convicted can appeal if they feel wrongly treated
- human rights cover all of society, including those in gaol
- governments review laws and amend when they are not working well
- · courts interpret laws and have guidance on sentences based on precedent
- international law ensures a consistent level of protection at a global level.

Other valid points must be credited.

Level 1 (17 – 20 marks)

- A very good response showing a keen awareness of how we are fully protected and our rights maintained; both the task and the texts are clearly understood [AO1]
- Well-chosen examples are given, from beyond the texts provided, showing how we are protected [AO2]
- There is critical analysis of the ways in which the law operates to protect rights [AO3]
- Communication is clear and accurate and the argument is well structured and comes to a convincing conclusion [AO4].

Level 2 (13 – 16 marks)

- A good response showing awareness of the ways in which we are protected and how this
 varies dependent on the level of threat; task and texts are understood [AO1]
- One or more non-text examples are given, indicating how protection works to the benefit of individuals and society [AO2]
- There is analysis of the ways in which the law operates to protect rights [AO3]
- Communication is clear and mostly accurate and structure is reasonably logical with an attempt at an overall conclusion [AO4].

Level 3 (9 – 12 marks)

- A competent, generalising response, showing some awareness of the ways in which the law serves to defend us; text and task are broadly understood [AO1]
- There may be examples, probably from similar areas or personal experience, or taken directly from the texts, but with little or no interpretation; nevertheless the task is understood and there is some credible argument [AO2]
- There is reference to the ways in which the law works, but there may be little distinction between fact and opinion over the issue [AO3]
- There are likely to be errors in the language used, but these should not impair communication; the response has some structure but probably lacks a considered conclusion [AO4].

Level 4 (5 – 8 marks)

- A limited response showing little awareness how the law works; there may be misunderstanding of texts and the task may be challenged [AO1]
- Examples from beyond the text are absent or poorly chosen and there is little evidence of any argument or structure [AO2]
- Limited understanding is shown of the task or of the issue of the law and there is little or no distinction between fact and opinion [AO3]
- Errors of language may begin to impair communication; there is little structure and the response may be brief [AO4].

Level 5 (1 – 4 marks)

- An inadequate response showing little understanding of the central issue and of texts and task. The task may be answered from the opposite perspective so that arguments are invalid [AO1]
- Assertions have no supporting examples and there is no clear line of argument [AO2]
- No understanding is shown of how the law operates or of the facts that might support such a case [AO3]
- Language is inaccurately used and communication is seriously impaired; the response may be very brief [AO4].
- (0) No response or no relevant information.

'Our choices are determined by experts and media personalities.'

Argue against the above statement, using examples of your own as well as those in $\underline{\text{Texts C}}$ and $\underline{\text{D}}$. (20 marks)

Points that might be made:

- we are independent and can make our own decisions
- experts can present technical and complex arguments that cannot be readily understood
- the internet and electronic systems allow everyone access to goods from across the world, regardless of location
- those in the media are prone to errors and inconsistencies that undermine their influence
- arts critics are less influential than friends and family in the choices we make
- despite highly publicised campaigns, people still make consumer choices on price.

Other valid points must be credited.

Level 1 (17 – 20 marks)

- A very good response showing a keen awareness of the way in which people make independent choices; both the task and texts are clearly understood [AO1]
- Well-chosen examples are given, from beyond the texts provided, perhaps from personal experience, supporting the view that media critics are not important [AO2]
- There is critical analysis of the ways in which people make choices independent of those in the media [AO3]
- Communication is clear and accurate and the argument is well-structured and comes to a convincing conclusion [AO4].

Level 2 (13 – 16 marks)

- A good response showing awareness of the way that people make choices; both the task and texts are clearly understood [AO1]
- One or more non-text examples are given, showing how little influence media critics have [AO2]
- There is analysis of the ways in which people make choices [AO3]
- Communication is mostly clear and accurate and structure is reasonably logical, with an attempt at an overall conclusion [AO4].

Level 3 (9 – 12 marks)

- A competent and generalising response showing awareness of the ways in which people make choices; texts and task are broadly understood [AO1]
- There may be examples, possibly taken directly from the texts, but with little or no interpretation; nevertheless, the task is understood and there is some credible argument [AO2]
- There is reference to the ways in which people make choices, but there may be little distinction between fact and opinion over this issue [AO3]
- There are likely to be errors in the language used, but these should not impair communication; the response has some structure but probably lacks a considered conclusion [AO4].

Level 4 (5 – 8 marks)

- A limited response showing little awareness of the issues; there may be misunderstanding of texts and task [AO1]
- Examples from beyond the text are absent or poorly chosen and there is little evidence of any argument or structure [AO2]
- Limited understanding is shown of how people ignore media influence even in reference to the texts and there is little or no distinction between fact and opinion [AO3]
- Errors of language may begin to impair communication; there is little structure and the response may be brief [AO4].

Level 5 (1 – 4 marks)

- An inadequate response showing little understanding of the central issue and of the texts and task. The task may be answered from the opposite perspective so that arguments are invalid [AO1]
- Assertions have no supporting examples and there is no clear line of argument [AO2]
- No understanding is shown of the nature of the task or the facts that might support a case [AO3]
- Language is inaccurately used and communication is seriously impaired; the response may be very brief [AO4].
- (0) No response or no relevant information.

We have 'certain rights and freedoms' and expect 'protection from criminal and anti-social behaviour'.

To what extent do law and punishment work in the interests of everyone?
(40 marks)

Points that might be made:

- the law applies to all regardless of background
- courts have a variety of punishments available to them depending on the particular factors of each individual case
- prisoners and prisons are divided into categories to protect society and those being punished
- · freedoms cannot be guaranteed, despite the law
- government and government institutions sometimes operate beyond the law and degrade and torture those whom they believe to be a threat
- the law is enforced by people and they can be fallible, prejudiced and dishonest
- income can determine the outcome of a trial with better legal advice available for the more wealthy.

Other valid points must be credited.

Level 1 (33 – 40 marks)

- A very good response showing keen awareness of how the law and punishment work and the extent to which different factors may influence how different individuals are treated [AO1]
- Well-chosen examples are given from beyond the sources and use is probably made of the texts, supporting the arguments and leading to a convincing conclusion [AO2]
- There is critical analysis of how the law and punishments operate and the circumstances that might affect equality [AO3]
- Communication is clear and accurate; the argument is well structured and comes to a conclusion [AO4].

Level 2 (25 – 32 marks)

- A good response, showing awareness of the ways in which the law operates and the impact this might have on individuals; texts and task are understood [AO1]
- One or more non-text examples are given and use is probably made of one or more of the texts; these are analysed and there is argument that leads to a realistic conclusion [AO2]
- There is analysis of how the law and punishments operate and how these affect individuals; facts and opinions are distinguished [AO3]
- Communication is clear and mostly accurate, the structure is reasonably logical and an attempt is made to come to a conclusion [AO4].

Level 3 (17 – 24 marks)

- A competent, generalising response, showing some awareness of how people might be affected differently by the law or by punishments; texts and task are broadly understood [AO1]
- There may be examples, possibly taken directly from the texts with limited interpretation; there may be an over-reliance on these text-led examples, but there is some credible argument [AO2]
- There is recognition of the way in which the law may operate for individuals; there is a failure to distinguish fully between fact and opinion [AO3]
- There are errors in the language used, but these do not impair communication; the response has some structure but may lack a convincing conclusion [AO4].

Level 4 (9 – 16 marks)

- A limited response, showing little awareness of the law and whether it affects everyone equally; there may be misunderstanding of the texts or task [AO1]
- Examples may be poorly chosen or absent, and there may be an over-reliance on the texts; there is little sense of an argument being constructed [AO2]
- Limited understanding is shown and there is probably little distinction between fact and opinion on the ways in which law and punishment operate [AO3]
- Errors of language begin to impair communication; there is little structure and the response may be brief [AO4].

Level 5 (1 – 8 marks)

- An inadequate response showing little understanding of the central issue and of the texts and task [AO1]
- Assertions are given no support in examples and there is no clear line of argument and no conclusion [AO2]
- No understanding is shown of what is meant by the interests of everyone [AO3]
- Language is inaccurately used and communication is seriously impaired [AO4].
- **(0)** No response or no relevant information.

O4 European institutions affect our laws and trans-national corporations affect our consumer habits.

How far is it possible for us to maintain a sense of identity in the face of increasing globalisation? (40 marks)

Points that might be made:

- towns and cities across the world have identical shops with identical content
- trans-national corporations have the financial power to influence governments and ensure they penetrate local markets
- the power of the strongest economies makes it difficult for smaller countries to compete on their own
- national identity is preserved through culture, sport and language
- it is difficult to evade international legal requirements
- individuals' choices reflect their own styles and tastes.

Other valid points must be credited.

Level 1 (33 – 40 marks)

- A very good response, showing keen awareness of globalisation and how it can be resisted; texts and task are clearly understood [AO1]
- Well-chosen examples are given from beyond the sources and use may be made of the texts, that support the argument and lead to a convincing conclusion [AO2]
- There is critical analysis of the conflict between the power of trans-national corporations and local resistance to its influence [AO3]
- Communication is clear and accurate; the argument is well structured and comes to a conclusion [AO4].

Level 2 (25 – 32 marks)

- A good response, showing awareness of the impact of globalisation and how it might be combated; texts and task are understood [AO1]
- One or more non-text examples are given of how people and countries are subject to globalisation and what power they have to combat it [AO2]
- There is understanding of the tension between global and local influences [AO3]
- Communication is clear and mostly accurate, the structure is reasonably logical and an attempt is made to come to a conclusion [AO4].

Level 3 (17 – 24 marks)

- A competent, generalising response, showing some awareness of the effects of globalisation; texts and task are broadly understood [AO1]
- There may be examples, possibly taken directly from the texts with limited interpretation, there may be an over-reliance on the texts; there is some credible argument [AO2]
- There is recognition of the tension between global and local influences, but this tension may not be convincingly resolved and there is a failure to distinguish fully between fact and opinion [AO3]
- There are errors in the language used, but these do not impair communication; the response has some structure but may lack a convincing conclusion [AO4].

Level 4 (9 – 16 marks)

- A limited response showing little awareness of the issues; there may be misunderstanding of the texts or task [AO1]
- Examples may be poorly chosen or absent, and there may be an over-reliance on the texts; there is little sense of an argument being constructed [AO2]
- Limited understanding is shown and there is probably little distinction between fact and opinion [AO3]
- Errors of language begin to impair communication; there is little structure and the response may be brief [AO4].

Level 5 (1 – 8 marks)

- An inadequate response showing little understanding of the central issue and of the texts and task [AO1]
- Assertions are given no support in examples and there is no clear line of argument and no conclusion [AO2]
- No understanding is shown of globalisation and its effects [AO3]
- Language is inaccurately used and communication is seriously impaired [AO4].
- (0) No response or no relevant information.

UMS conversion calculator www.aqa.org.uk/umsconversion