

General Certificate of Education (A-level) January 2012

General Studies B

GENB1

(Specification 2765)

Unit 1: Conflict

Final

Mark Scheme

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all examiners participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available from: aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2012 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

Copyright

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

INTRODUCTION

The nationally agreed assessment objectives in the Ofqual Subject Criteria for General Studies are:

- **AO1** Demonstrate relevant knowledge and understanding applied to a range of issues, using skills from different disciplines.
- **AO2** Marshal evidence and draw conclusions; select, interpret, evaluate and integrate information, data, concepts and opinions.
- **AO3** Demonstrate understanding of different types of knowledge appreciating their strengths and limitations.
- **AO4** Communicate clearly and accurately in a concise, logical and relevant way.
- Candidates will often perform at a uniform level across the four Assessment
 Objectives. Sometimes, though, their performance will be uneven across the AOs.
- The mark awarded for a response should reflect the relative weightings of AOs for the unit (see below).
- Thus, for Unit 1, knowledge and understanding [AO1] and marshalling evidence and drawing conclusions [AO2] have equal weight. These should determine the level (1 4) to which the response is allocated.
- Whether communication is clear and accurate [AO4] and, to a lesser extent, whether fact and opinion are distinguished [AO3] should determine the mark within the level.
- Answers given in the mark scheme are not necessarily definitive. Other valid points must be credited, even if they do not appear in the mark scheme.

Distribution of marks across the questions and assessment objectives for Unit 1

Question Numbers		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Total marks
Assessment Objectives	1	10	10	10	10	10	30
	2	10	10	10	10	10	30
	3	4	4	4	4	4	12
	4	6	6	6	6	6	18
Total marks per Question		30	30	30	30	30	90

(NB. Candidates answer 3 out of 5 questions)

101 'Pressure groups such as People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA), the animal rights group, are no more than a showcase for extreme views.'

How far do pressure groups represent the majority view of society?

You might consider:

- the issues on which pressure groups campaign
- the methods they use to draw attention to their cause
- the role of pressure groups in a democratic society.

(30 marks)

Candidates might include:

- they bring issues into the public eye and, therefore, might change the views of many
- few people have sufficient passion about a cause to make them join a pressure group
- they can be short-lived as the issue is resolved and loses its high profile
- their extreme tactics might alienate public opinion
- they can persuade the government to change laws
- the right to express opinion, whether it is the majority view or not, is fundamental to democracy.

Other valid points must be credited.

Level 1 (25 – 30 marks)

- A convincing response showing good awareness of the potential tensions between the views of pressure groups and those of the majority of society [AO1]
- Relevant examples are given of one or more pressure groups, other than that used in the stem, that support the argument and lead to a convincing conclusion [AO2]
- There is a clear appreciation of the values embodied in pressure groups and of their possible position in a democratic society [AO3]
- Communication is clear, accurate and the argument is structured [AO4].

- A good response showing awareness of the conflict between pressure groups and others in society [AO1]
- Examples of pressure groups are given, other than that used in the stem, and there is argument on either side that leads to a realistic conclusion [AO2]
- There is understanding of the values implicit in pressure groups and of their possible position in a democratic society [AO3]
- Communication is clear and mostly accurate and the argument is reasonably structured [AO4].

- A reasonable response showing some awareness of the issue [AO1]
- There may be examples, but they are generalised; no or very limited reference to specific pressure groups, but there is some credible argument [AO2]
- There is reference to the significance of pressure groups, though there may be little
 development of the values implicit in them, nor their possible position in a democratic
 society [AO3]
- There are errors in the language used, but these do not impair communication; the response has some structure [AO4].

- A limited response showing little awareness of the importance of pressure groups [AO1]
- Few, if any, examples of pressure groups are given, but there is little sense of evidence being marshalled in an argument [AO2]
- Limited understanding is shown of the values implicit in pressure groups [AO3]
- Errors of language begin to impair communication; there is little structure in the response, and it may be brief [AO4].
- (0) No response or no relevant information.

of acting aggressively, but we are not all equally aggressive.

Discuss what makes some people more aggressive than others.

You might consider:

- how different situations might lead to aggressive behaviour
- whether aggression is learned or is a natural instinct
- the values that underlie aggressive and non-aggressive behaviour.

(30 marks)

Candidates might include the following:

- people respond differently to triggers, but are all capable of acting aggressively, even the most passive
- aggression is an expression of the survival instinct
- people learn to be aggressive or to control it as a result of their upbringing
- aggression management techniques can be taught to help people control their aggression
- aggression may be channelled into competitive sports
- aggression is sometimes necessary for the greater good
- aggression can be an uncontrolled response; non-aggression is more likely to be a rational choice based on beliefs.

Other valid points must be credited.

Level 1 (25 – 30 marks)

- A convincing response showing good awareness of how and why aggressive behaviour is manifested [AO1]
- Relevant examples are given of one or more behaviours that support the argument and lead to a convincing conclusion [AO2]
- There is a clear appreciation of the values inherent in aggressive behaviour and of the position of a variety of people in relation to it [AO3]
- Communication is clear, accurate and the argument is structured [AO4].

- A good response showing awareness of how and why aggressive behaviour is manifested [AO1]
- Examples of behaviours are given and there is argument on either side that leads to a realistic conclusion [AO2]
- There is understanding of the values inherent in aggressive behaviour and of the position of people in relation to it [AO3]
- Communication is clear and mostly accurate and the argument is reasonably structured [AO4].

- A reasonable response showing some awareness of the issue [AO1]
- There may be examples, but they are generalised; no or very limited reference to specific behaviours, but there is some credible argument [AO2]
- There is reference to the significance of aggressive behaviour, though there may be little development of the values inherent in it [AO3]
- There are errors in the language used, but these do not impair communication; the response has some structure [AO4].

- A limited response showing little awareness of how aggressive behaviour might be affected by situations [AO1]
- Few, if any, examples of behaviours are given, but there is little sense of evidence being marshalled in an argument [AO2]
- Limited understanding is shown of the values implicit in aggressive behaviour [AO3]
- Errors of language begin to impair communication; there is little structure in the response, and it may be brief [AO4].
- (0) No response or no relevant information.

There is a saying, "Don't judge a book by its cover."

Discuss the extent to which judging people by their appearance leads to stereotyping.

You might consider:

- what we mean by stereotyping
- · other influences that might lead to stereotyping
- · whether stereotyping is always wrong.

(30 marks)

Candidates might include the following:

- judging people without knowing them is likely to result in generalisations and, therefore, create stereotypes
- many stereotypes are rooted in real situations and real people
- stereotypes might be formed from media images, personal experiences, and the opinions of family and peers
- stereotypes can be positive or negative either way they have an effect
- many people are unaffected by the stereotype applied to them and may disprove it
- if unchecked, stereotyping can lead to prejudice and discrimination.

Other valid points must be credited.

Level 1 (25 – 30 marks)

- A convincing response showing good awareness of the potential tensions inherent in stereotyping [AO1]
- Relevant examples are given of one or more stereotypes that support the argument and lead to a convincing conclusion [AO2]
- There is a clear appreciation of the values inherent in stereotypes and of the position of a variety of people in relation to them [AO3]
- Communication is clear, accurate and the argument is structured [AO4].

- A good response showing awareness of conflict caused by stereotyping [AO1]
- Examples of stereotypes are given and there is argument on either side that leads to a realistic conclusion [AO2]
- There is understanding of the values inherent in stereotypes and of the position of people in relation to them [AO3]
- Communication is clear and mostly accurate and the argument is reasonably structured [AO4].

- A reasonable response showing some awareness of the issue [AO1]
- There may be examples, but they are generalised; no or very limited reference to specific stereotypes, but there is some credible argument [AO2]
- There is reference to the significance of stereotyping, though there may be little development of the values inherent in it [AO3]
- There are errors in the language used, but these do not impair communication; the response has some structure [AO4].

- A limited response showing little awareness of the tensions inherent in stereotyping [AO1]
- Few, if any, examples of stereotypes are given, but there is little sense of evidence being marshalled in an argument [AO2]
- Limited understanding is shown of the values implicit in stereotyping [AO3]
- Errors of language begin to impair communication; there is little structure in the response, and it may be brief [AO4].
- (0) No response or no relevant information.

"Artists have a say, and what they say can change the world as much as a politician can." (Yoko Ono)

How far can the arts "change the world"?

You might consider:

- what people consider the arts to be
- the impact of the arts on people's lives
- whether important values are expressed through the arts. (30 marks)

Candidates might include the following:

- the arts range from high art to popular culture
- the arts offer a personal experience for everyone
- the arts push the boundaries of acceptability over time
- for many, the arts hold little interest or influence
- the arts might encourage people to view society from different perspectives
- the arts could be seen as a reflection of society and its values at the time.

Other valid points must be credited.

Level 1 (25 – 30 marks)

- A convincing response showing good awareness of the relationship between the arts and society [AO1]
- Relevant examples are given of one or more of the arts that support the argument and lead to a convincing conclusion [AO2]
- There is a clear appreciation of the values embodied in the arts and of their possible position in society [AO3]
- Communication is clear, accurate and the argument is structured [AO4].

- A good response showing awareness of the relationship between the arts and society [AO1]
- Examples of the arts are given and there is argument on either side that leads to a realistic conclusion [AO2]
- There is understanding of the values implicit in the arts and of their possible position in society [AO3]
- Communication is clear and mostly accurate and the argument is reasonably structured [AO4].

- A reasonable response showing some awareness of the issue [AO1]
- There may be examples, but they are generalised; no or very limited reference to specific works of art or artists, but there is some credible argument [AO2]
- There is reference to the significance of the arts, though there may be little development of the values implicit in them, nor their possible position in society [AO3]
- There are errors in the language used, but these do not impair communication; the response has some structure [AO4].

- A limited response showing little awareness of the importance of the arts [AO1]
- Few, if any, examples of works of art or artists are given, but there is little sense of evidence being marshalled in an argument [AO2]
- Limited understanding is shown of the values implicit in the arts [AO3]
- Errors of language begin to impair communication; there is little structure in the response, and it may be brief [AO4].
- (0) No response or no relevant information.

05 'My mobile is an iPhone, I wear Nike trainers and I only drink Coca Cola.'

How important are brand names to consumers?

You might consider:

- the techniques companies use to target consumers
- what influences consumer choices
- the values associated with brand names.

(30 marks)

Candidates might include the following:

- a range of techniques, including promotions, strap-lines and advertising are used to persuade us to buy
- peer pressure influences conformity to consumer norms
- role models and celebrities are used to endorse products
- some consumers resist brand name marketing strategies
- many consumers assume that brand names offer the best products and service and, therefore, remain loyal to the brand
- many see brand names as a reflection of lifestyle choices.

Other valid points must be credited.

Level 1 (25 – 30 marks)

- A convincing response showing good awareness of the potential tensions inherent in competitive marketing [AO1]
- Relevant examples are given of one or more brand names, other than those used in the stem, that support the argument and lead to a convincing conclusion [AO2]
- There is a clear appreciation of the values inherent in brand names and of the position of a variety of people in relation to them [AO3]
- Communication is clear, accurate and the argument is structured [AO4].

- A good response showing awareness of the potential conflicts inherent in competitive marketing [AO1]
- Examples of brand names are given, other than those used in the stem, and there is argument on either side that leads to a realistic conclusion [AO2]
- There is understanding of the values implicit in brand names and of the position of people in relation to them [AO3]
- Communication is clear and mostly accurate and the argument is reasonably structured [AO4].

- A reasonable response showing some awareness of the issue [AO1]
- There may be examples, but they are generalised; no or very limited reference to specific brand names, other than those used in the stem, but there is some credible argument [AO2]
- There is reference to the significance of brand names, though there may be little development of the values inherent in them [AO3]
- There are errors in the language used, but these do not impair communication; the response has some structure [AO4].

Level 4 (1 – 8 marks)

- A limited response showing little awareness of the tensions inherent in competitive marketing [AO1]
- Few, if any, examples of brand names are given, other than those used in the stem, but there is little sense of evidence being marshalled in an argument [AO2]
- Limited understanding is shown of the values implicit in brand names [AO3]
- Errors of language begin to impair communication; there is little structure in the response, and it may be brief [AO4].
- (0) No response or no relevant information.

UMS conversion calculator www.aqa.org.uk/umsconversion